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#### Abstract

An enantiomerically pure (1,2-isopropylidenedioxy)tetrahydrofuran derivative, 9, bearing acetonyl and propionaldehyde side chains smoothly underwent aldol cyclization under basic conditions. The major product was the cis-aldol 21S, accompanied by the trans-diastereomer 21R in a ratio of 4 to 1 . Further functionalized substrates 10 and 11 , with either a (4-acetyl)- or a (4-ethoxycarbonyl)-3( $E$ )-butenyl group, smoothly underwent a tandem Michael addition/aldol condensation or acylation by treatment with sodium hydride ( NaH ). In the case of 10 , two cis-fused octahydronaphthalenones, 23 and 25 , and a trans-fused diasteromer, 24, were isolated in $20 \%, 33 \%$, and $11 \%$ yields, respectively. The substrate 11 provided a cis-substituted perhydrobenzofuran derivative, 26, and cis- and trans-fused octahydronaphthalenediones, 27 and 28 , in $52 \%, 17 \%$, and $9 \%$ yields, respectively. An intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ type cyclization of the corresponding 5 -chloro- $3(E)$-pentenyl derivative, 14, provided exclusively $(96 \%)$ the perhydrobenzofuran derivative, 29 , in which the two newly introduced substituents are disposed in a cis relationship. The stereochemical assignments for each cyclization product were achieved by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the cyclization products or their chemically modified compounds. Preferential formation of the cis-fused carbocycles in the present studies is rationalized from a stereoelectronic viewpoint. Furthermore, the effect of substituents on the cyclization was investigated using two tetrahydrofuran derivatives, 20S and 20R. Base treatment of $\mathbf{2 0 S}$ gave the cis-fused tandem cyclization product 30 and the trans diastereomer 31 in a ratio of 3.8 to 1 . In contrast, 20R gave two cyclization products, 32 and 33 , in a ratio of 5 to 1 , as a result of a preferential trans cyclization mode.


## Introduction

Development of a novel and efficient methodology for enantioselective or enantiospecific carbocyclization is a major objective in current organic synthesis. A variety of useful approaches is found in the literature, especially in the context of natural product synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ The representative approaches are classified as follows: (1) DielsAlder approaches in an intramolecular fashion, ${ }^{2}$ (2) anionic intramolecular carbon-carbon bond formations such as the double Michael cyclization, ${ }^{3}$ (3) 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition for five-membered carbocycle formation, ${ }^{4}$ and (4) free-radical-induced carbon-carbon bond formation for both five- and six-membered carbocyclizations. ${ }^{5}$ For access to

[^0]enantiomerically pure carbocycles, the substrates prepared from carbohydrates are frequently used. Significant developments have been achieved recently in the field of synthetic carbohydrate chemistry by means of radicalmediated carbocyclization. ${ }^{6}$ In regard to polycarbocycles formation, Deslongchamps and co-workers have amply demonstrated the promising features of both intramolecular and transannular cyclizations.?

We recently reported the base-catalyzed carbocyclization of D-glucose-derived substrates such as 3 and 5 (Scheme I). These reactions provided enantiomerically pure 5- or 3-C-alkylated 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-enones, i.e. $4^{8}$ and $6 .{ }^{9}$
(5) Some leading references on free-radical cyclizations: (a) Beckwith, A. L. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3073. (b) Hart, D. J. Science 1984, 223, 883. (c) Geise, B. Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of CarbonCarbon Bonds; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1986. (d) Ramaiah, M. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3541. (e) Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 417 and 489. (f) Curran, D. P. In Advances in Free Radical Chemistry; Tanner, D. D., Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, 1990; Vol. 1, pp 121-157. (g) Boger, D. L.; Mathvink, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5442.
(6) Some recent papers on this subject: (a) Tsang, R.; Fraser-Reid, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2116. (b) Pak, H.; Canalda, I. I.; FraserReid, B. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3009. (c) Gaudino, J. J.; Wilcox, C. S. Carbohydr. Res. 1990, 206, 233. (d) Gaudino, J. J.; Wilcox, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4374. (e) Rajan Babu, T. V.; Fukunaga, T.; Reddy, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 1759. (f) Andersson, F. O.; Classon, B.; Samuelsson, B. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 4699. (g) Marco-Contelles, J.; Martinez-Grau, A. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 7663.
(7) (a) Lavallee, J.-F.; Deslongchamps, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 3457. (b) Berube, G.; Deslongchamps, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5255. (c) Lamothe, S.; Ndibwami, A.; Deslongchamps, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1641. (d) Lavallee, J.-F.; Deslongchamps, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 5117. (e) Lavallee, J.-F.; Deslongchamps, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6033. (f) Ruel, R.; Deslongchamps, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 3961.
(8) (a) Suami, T.; Tadano, K.; Ueno, Y.; Fukabori, C. Chem. Lett. 1985, 1557. (b) Tadano, K.; Ueno, Y.; Fukabori, C.; Hotta, Y.; Suami, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1987, 60, 1727.
(9) Tadano, K.; Miyazaki, M.; Ogawa, S.; Suami, T. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1574.

## Scheme I



Scheme II


The synthetic approaches to 4 and 6 have some antecedents in the literature such as the Ferrier reaction. ${ }^{10-12}$ The versatility of 4 has been demonstrated through (1) the stereoselective synthesis of pseudo-sugars (carbocyclic analogues of hexopyranoses) and pseudo-amino sugars ${ }^{8,13}$ and (2) the formal total synthesis of sesquiterpene ( + )paniculide B. ${ }^{14}$
(10) (a) Ferrier, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1979, 1455. (b) Blattner, R.; Ferrier, R.; Haines, S. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1983, 55, 565. (c) Ferrier, R. J.; Haines, S. R. Carbohydr. Res. 1984, 130, 135.
(11) Reviews on relevant carbocyclizations based on the carbohy-drate-substrate approaches: (a) Fraser-Reid, B.; Tsang, R. In Strategies and Tactics in Organic Synthesis; Lindberg, T., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1989; Vol. 2, pp 123-162. (b) Mulzer, J.; Altenbach, H.-J.; Braun, M.; Krohn, K.; Reissig, H.-U. Organic Synthesis Highlights; VCH Publishers: Weinheim, 1991; pp 255-259.
(12) Other enantiomeric cyclohexenone derivatives have been used for natural product synthesis: (a) Audia, J. E.; Boisvert, L.; Patten, A. D.; Villalobos, A.; Danishefsky, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3738. (b) Danishefsky, S. J.; Simoneau, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2599. (c) Asaoka, M.; Shima, K.; Fujii, N.; Takei, H. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4757. (d) Asaoka, M.; Sukurai, M.; Takei, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7567, (13) Tadano, K.; Fukabori, C.; Miyazaki, M.; Kimura, H.; Suami, T. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1987, 60, 2189.

As part of our ongoing efforts directed at the transformation of carbohydrates to carbocycles ${ }^{15}$ and its application to natural product synthesis, ${ }^{16}$ we describe herein a preparation of enantiomerically pure and densely functionalized octahydronaphthalene derivatives. The present approach features a tandem intramolecular Michael addition/aldol condensation or acylation applied to D-glucose-derived substrates. ${ }^{17}$

[^1]

## Results and Discussion

Preparation of the Substrates for the Tandem Cyclization. We chose enantiomerically pure tetrasubstituted tetrahydrofurans, 10,11 , and 14 , as substrates for the present work (Scheme II). Each substrate bears two side chains, namely, an acetonyl group and a $3(E)$-butenyl group which is activated by a terminal functionality such as $\mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{Me}$ for $10, \mathrm{COOEt}$ for 11 , or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ for 14. Both side chains are vicinally disposed in a trans relationship in all substrates.

Preparation of these substrates was achieved starting with our previously described compound 3. ${ }^{8}$ Wittig olefination of 3 with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}$ gave a mixture of $E$ and $Z \alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters 7-E and 7-Z in a combined yield of $94 \%$. It should be emphasized that the Wittig reagent reacted with the aldehyde function exclusively, indicating a highly chemoselective reaction, although we cannot account for this selectivity. Hydrogenation of the $E / Z$ mixture of 7 followed by lithium aluminum hydride $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}\right)$ reduction of the resulting saturated ester ${ }^{18}$ gave an inseparable diastereomeric mixture of diols 8 in a combined yield of $94 \%$. Simultaneous oxidation of the primary and secondary hydrozyl groups of 8 with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) ${ }^{19}$ gave keto-aldehyde $9 .{ }^{20}$ Wittig olefination of 9 with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHC}(=0) \mathrm{Me}$ or with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}$ provided the substrate 10 or 11 in an overall yield of $67 \%$ or $64 \%$ from 8 , respectively. These reactions proceeded chemoselectively and stereoselectively to provide the $E$-isomers exclusively. Diisobutylaluminum hydride (Dibal-H) reduction of 11 followed by treatment of the resulting allylic alcohol 12 with an excess of $p$ toluenesulfonyl chloride ( TsCl ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ provided the allylic chloride 13 in $45 \%$ yield ( $48 \%$ of 12 was re-

[^2]covered). ${ }^{23}$ Oxidation of 13 using PCC gave another substrate, 14.
We considered it important to investigate the effect of a substituent on the cyclization. In order to learn more about this effect, two substrates, 20R and 20S, both of which bear a benzyloxy group in one side chain, were prepared as shown in Scheme III. The reported compound $1^{8}$ was subjected to Wittig methylenation. Acid hydrolysis of the adduct 15 gave diol 16. Glycol cleavage of 16 by sodium periodate $\left(\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}\right)$ mediated oxidation followed by Grignard reaction using allylmagnesium chloride provided a nearly $4: 5$ mixture of the diastereomeric homoallylic alcohols 17 in a combined yield of $93 \%$. The hydroxyl groups of the mixture of 17 were protected as benzyl ethers. The mixture of benzyl ethers was cleanly separated by column chromatography on silica gel, providing $18 S$ ( $44 \%$ ) and $18 R(52 \%$ ). Although determination of the configuration of the carbon bearing the benzyloxy group in 18 S or $\mathbf{1 8 R}$ was difficult by means of spectral analysis, these configurations were established as depicted in a later stage. Ozonolysis of the vinyl group in 18S or 18R provided keto-aldehyde 19 S or 19R, respectively. Finally, Wittig olefination of 19S or 19R provided exclusively $E$-isomer 20S or 20R in good overall yield.
Tandem Cyclization of the Substrates. The Reaction Conditions. We first investigated the intramolecular aldol reaction of the intermediate 9 . Refluxing in benzene in the presence of 1,8 -diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) ( 1.8 molar equiv), compound 9 smoothly underwent intramolecular reaction to provide a diastereomeric mixture of aldols 21S and 21R (Scheme IV). The mixture was easily separated by column chromatography on silica gel to provide $21 S$ and 21 R in $53 \%$ and $13 \%$ yields from 8 , respectively. The structure of each diastereomer was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the corresponding
(23) For introduction of a leaving group to the primary hydroxyl group of 12, the following conditions were examined: (a) $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in THF (formation of a complex mixture); (b) NBS, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in DMF ( $22 \%$ yield of the corresponding allylic bromide); (c) NCS, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in DMF ( $54 \%$ yield of 13 but no recovery of 12 ).
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$J_{2.3}=J_{3,8}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$
acetate, i.e. 22 S or $22 R$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 22 S , the methine proton at C-7 appears at $\delta 2.82$ as a doublet of doublets with $J_{6,7}=2.9$ and $J_{7,8}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. On the other hand, that of $22 R$ appears at $\delta 3.01$ as a triplet with $J_{6,7}$ $=J_{78}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The acetyl group at C-7 of 21 S and 21 R is oriented equatorially in both compounds. The hydroxyl group at C-6 assumes an axial orientation in 21 S and an equatorial orientation in 21R.

The intramolecular Michael reaction of the substrate 10 was investigated next. Use of DBU as the base caused significant decomposition of the substrate in this case. When methanolic sodium methoxide was used, a 1,4-conjugated addition of the methoxide was the sole result. Treatment of 10 with cesium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, the Deslongchamps's base, ${ }^{7}$ gave a complex mixture in our case. We eventually found that brief treatment of 10 with 0.4 molar equiv of NaH in DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the best and most reproducible results. Three tandem cyclization products, 23,24 , and 25 , were isolated in $20 \%, 11 \%$, and $33 \%$ yields, respectively, by column chromatography on silica gel. Under similar conditions ( 1.0 molar equiv of NaH ), the substrate 11 cyclized smoothly, providing the Michael adduct 26 in $52 \%$ yield along with the tandem acylation products 27 and 28 in $17 \%$ and $9 \%$ yields, respectively. When 11 was treated with 4.5 molar equiv of NaH , the tandem cyclization occurred almost exclusively, resulting in the formation of 27 and 28 in $56 \%$ and $13 \%$ yields, respectively. Cesium carbonate was effective for the initial Michael addition, providing an inseparable mixture ( $8: 1$ ) of 26 and the presumed trans-substituted derivative in a combined yield of $74 \%$. The structure determinations of the cyclization products, 23-28, are described below.

It is likely that the formation of the trans-fused octahydronaphthalenones 24 or 28 from 10 or 11 was a con-
Scheme V
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sequence of the retro-Michael reaction/recyclization of the initially formed Michael adducts. This assumption suggests that compounds 24 and 28 are thermodynamically controlled products. If this interpretation is correct, the major cyclization products $23,25,26$, and 27 can be regarded as kinetically controlled products.

We next investigated the cyclization of the allylic chloride 14. In the case of 14, the expected $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ type cyclization is irreversible and the reaction should give a kinetically controlled product. When compared 14 was treated with an excess of NaH (5 molar equiv) in DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a cis-substituted cyclization product 29 was obtained in $96 \%$ yield (Scheme V); none of the trans-substituted product was detected in the reaction mixture. The structure of 29 was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis, in which $\mathrm{H}-7$ appeared at $\delta 2.83$ as a doublet of doublets with $J_{6,7}=4.8$ and $J_{7,8}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. From these results, we believe that the initial Michael additions of 10 and 11 proceed under kinetic control to provide cis-substituted adducts preferentially.
We also examined the cyclization of the benzyl ether 20S or 20R. When 20 S was treated with 1.0 molar equiv of NaH , two tandem cyclization products, 30 and 31 , were obtained in $57 \%$ and $15 \%$ yields, respectively (Scheme V). The structure determinations of 30 and 31 are described below. The cyclization of 20S also proceeded preferentially in a cis cyclization mode. On the other hand, treatment of 20 R with NaH provided two tandem cyclization products, 32 and 33 , in $55 \%$ and $11 \%$ yields, respectively. In this case, the trans-fused perhydronaphthalenedione 32 was the major product. From these results, we concluded that the benzyloxy group participated significantly to bias

the stereochemical course of the Michael addition.
Structure Determination of the Cyclization Products. In many cases, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peak patterns of the methylene and methine protons of the cyclization products were overlapping and complicated. We therefore decided to transform the cyclization products into derivatives suitable for accurate structure determination by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis.
The tandem cyclization product 23 was hydrogenated, and the product was oxidized with PCC to provide a decalone derivative 34 (Scheme VI). The configuration of the methyl group at C-6 was tentatively assigned as depicted, by rationalizing that the hydrogenation should occur from the less hindered convex site of 23. BaeyerVilliger oxidation of 34 with $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $m$ CPBA) provided $\epsilon$-lactone 35 exclusively. Reduction of 35 with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ followed by acetylation of the product gave a perhydrobenzofuran derivative 36 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 36 revealed the $\mathrm{H}-7$ signal as a doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.90$ with $J_{6,7}=4.9$ and $J_{7,8}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. As migration of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond to a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the Baeyer-Villiger rearrangement proceeds with retention of configuration, the structures of 35 and of the precursor 23 were established as depicted.
Hydrogenation of 24 followed by PCC oxidation of the product provided a decalone derivative 37. The configuration of the methyl group in 37 was tentatively assigned as depicted. Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of $37, \mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the product 38 , followed by acetylation of the resulting diol gave the perhydrobenzofuran derivative 39 as a single isomer in high overall yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 39 revealed the $\mathrm{H}-7$ signal as a triplet at $\delta 4.86$ with $J_{6,7}=J_{7,8}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$. From these spectral data the
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- interconvertible

structure of 24 was established.
The structure of 25 was determined as follows (Scheme VII): Hydrogenation of $\mathbf{2 5}$ followed by PCC oxidation of the product gave the decalone derivative 40 as a single isomer. Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of 40 with $m$-CPBA gave an inseparable mixture of $\epsilon$-lactones 41 and 42 . Reduction of the misture using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ followed by acetylation of the products provided the acetates 43 and 44 , separated by column chromatography on silica gel, in a nearly 1 to 1 ratio. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 43 and 44 revealed that both compounds possess two acetoxymethyl groups. These facts indicate that compound 40 bears two methylene groups, both of which are adjacent to the carbonyl group. Thus, the locations of the methyl and carbonyl groups in 40 were established. The stereochemistry of the ring fusion of 25 was determined as follows: Luche reduction ${ }^{24}$ of 25 followed by acetylation of the product gave allylic acetate 45 as a single diastereomer. The hydride attack to 25 presumably occurred from the less hindered $\alpha$-site. Cisdihydroxylation of the double bond in 45 with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}-$ NMO ${ }^{25}$ gave diol 46 exclusively. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the $\mathrm{H}-3$ signal of 46 appears as a doublet of doublets at $\delta 2.04$ with $J_{2,8}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{3,8}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. From these observations, the ring fusion of 25 was determined to be as depicted.
The structure of the perhydrobenzofuran derivative 26 was determined both by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis and by chemical

[^3]
modification (Scheme VIII). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 26 , the proton signal at C-7 appears as a doublet at $\delta$ 2.86 with $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. This coupling constant indicates that $\mathrm{H}-7$ and $\mathrm{H}-8$ are disposed in a diaxial relationship. Reduction of 26 using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ followed by Swern oxidation of the resulting diol 47 gave 48 , which was subjected to intramolecular aldol condensation. Treatment of 48 with DBU followed by $\beta$-elimination provided the octahydronaphthalenone derivative 49 efficiently. By using the aforementioned reaction sequence, the aldol 49 was converted into a perhydrobenzofuran derivative, 52 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 52 revealed the proton signal for H-7 as a doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.90$ with $J_{6,7}=5.1$ and $J_{7,8}$ $=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$. These facts led to the conclusion that the structure of the Michael adduct 26 is as depicted in Scheme IV.
The structure of 27 was determined by analogy with the aforementioned aldol reaction product 49. Compound 27 was treated with excess diazomethane to give an inseparable mixture of $\beta$-methoxy enones 53 and 54 . The nearly 1:1 mixture of 53 and 54 was subjected to Dibal-H reduction followed by acid hydrolysis to provide enone 49, which was derived from 54 , in $50 \%$ yield. As the structure of 49 was established as described above, the structure of 27 was determined as depicted. In the case of the remaining cyclization product 28, its structure was determined directly by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. Compound 28 exists as a 1,3 -diketo structure in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution, as evidenced by two signals at $\delta 3.36$ and 3.59 arising from the C-5 methylene protons. The signal due to H-3 appears at $\delta$ 2.60 as a triplet with $J_{2,3}=J_{3,8}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (see the conformational description in Scheme IV).
Finally, the structures of $30-33$ were determined as shown in Scheme IX. Treatment of 30 with diazomethane gave a regioisomeric mixture of 55 (only one regioisomer is shown in Scheme IX), which was subjected to Dibal-H reduction/acid hydrolysis to give enone 56 and its regioisomer, which were separated, in $49 \%$ and $33 \%$ yields, respectively. Through a reaction sequence analogous to that used above, compound 56 was converted into perhydrobenzofuran derivative 59 via 57 and 58 . The struc-
ture of 59 was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis, in which the signal due to $\mathrm{H}-4$ appears as a doublet of triplets at $\delta 3.60$, with $J_{4,5 \mathrm{seq}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{3,4}=J_{4,5 \mathrm{sax}}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and the signal due to $\mathrm{H}-7$ appears as a doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.95$, with $J_{6,7}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{7,8}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The structure of another cyclization product, 31 , was determined directly from its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum the signal due to $\mathrm{H}-3$ of 31 appears as a triplet at $\delta 2.58$ with $J_{2,3}=J_{3,8}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. This coupling constant verified the configurations to $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-8$, and the structure of 31 was determined (see the conformational description of Scheme V).

The tandem cyclization product 32 was converted into 66 via 60-65 in a manner analogous to that used in the case of 59 from 30. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 66 , in which $\mathrm{H}-7$ appears as a triplet at $\delta 4.90$ with $J_{6,7}=J_{7,8}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, revealed that the structure of 32 was as depicted in Scheme V. The structure of 33 was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. When the signal due to $\mathrm{H}-1$ at $\delta 4.72$ was irradiated, the signal due to $\mathrm{H}-2$ at $\delta 2.44$ changes to a triplet with $J_{23}=J_{211}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. During irradiation of the signal at $\delta 3.80$, attributable to $\mathrm{H}-11$, the signal due to $\mathrm{H}-2$ changed to a doublet of doublets with $J_{1,2}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $J_{2,3}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. These observations led to the conclusion that the configuration at $\mathrm{C}-3$ of 33 is $S$. Because we had isolated the $3 S, 8 S$ isomer above, i.e. 32 , we also concluded that the configuration at C-8 of 33 must be $R$. Thus the structure of 33 was established.
Stereochemical Interpretations for the Results of the Intramolecular Michael Addition. It is apparent from the results of the cyclization of 10 or 11 that the initial Michael addition proceeded with moderate to high stereochemical bias, giving the cis-substituted perhydrobenzofuran derivatives predominantly. Judging from the ratio of the cyclization products, the bias of the cis cyclization mode compared to the trans is estimated to be 4.8:1 (23 + 25:24) for 10 or 7.7:1 ( $26+27: 28$ ) for 11. In the case of the allylic chloride 14 , the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ type cyclization provided exclusively the cis-substituted perhydrobenzofuran 29. Consequently, the Michael reaction achieved by using 10 or 11 provided either exclusively or predominantly
Scheme IX
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the cis vicinally substituted products under kinetically controlled conditions. The formation of the trans-fused tandem cyclization products 24 and 28 might be the consequence of thermodynamic equilibrium between the Michael and retro-Michael reactions, although we have no firm experimental basis for this assumption.

We adopt the following argument to account for the stereochemical bias observed in the Michael addition and the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ cyclization. In general, a six-membered ring formation proceeds through a thermodynamically favorable chair-like transition state. As depicted in eq 1 , the enolate generated from the acetonyl group in 10, 11, or 14 would be favorably disposed in a quasi-equatorial orientation (E). The quasi-axial disposition (A) seems to be disfavored owing to a nonbonded interaction incurred from the $O$-isopropylidene group. We assume the geometry of the enolate to be $Z$ for each substrate as depicted in eqs 1 and 2, although no firm spectral evidence to support this assumption is in our hands. Once we investigated O -silylation of the enolate generated from 10, however, the cyclization to 23-25 occurred rapidly. The silyl enol ether could not be characterized. ${ }^{26}$ The preferred formation of
the thermodynamically less stable cis cyclization products is not unusual, and this phenomenon was reported by Schlosser ${ }^{27}$ early in the seventies. Concerning the conformation of the Michael acceptor, an argument proposed by Seebach ${ }^{28}$ can be used to explain the stereoselectivity observed in our work. Namely, a smaller substituent in the Michael donor part (hydrogen atom of the enolate) and a carbon-carbon double bond in the acceptor part are preferably aligned antiperiplanarly (the Newman projection A) for stereoelectronic reasons. ${ }^{29}$ This alignment leads to the cis-substituted Michael adduct. On the other hand, the synclinal alignment (the Newman projection $\mathbf{S}$ ) leads to a disadvantageous transition state. The results obtained from our substrates can be explained well by using Seebach's proposal. However, it is likely that the retro-Michael reaction also contributes in some measure to the formation of the trans-fused cyclization products.

The preferential formation of the cis-fused octahydronaphthalenone 30 from 20S can be accounted for in virtually the same manner as that adopted for substrates 10 and 11. In the case of 20S, the equatorially disposed benzyloxy group scarcely influences the stereochemical course of the cyclization. On the other hand, the axially disposed benzyloxy group in 20R surely interacts with the Michael acceptor part as depicted in A of eq 3. This unfavorable 1,3-diaxial interaction can be avoided by changing the conformation of the transition state from $\mathbf{A}$ to $\mathbf{E}$, in which the Michael acceptor is disposed equatorially. As a result, compound 32 was formed preferentially. The formation of 33 to a certain extent indicates that the steric effect of the benzyloxy group on the cyclization is significant, but not exclusive.

X : C(-O)Me, COOEt, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$

A


In conclusion, we have demonstrated an enantiomeric access to densely functionalized octahydronaphthalene

[^4]derivatives. Our approaches feature tandem intramolecular Michael addition/aldol cyclizations or acylations which were realized by using enantiomerically pure substrates derived from D-glucose. These approaches may serve as novel procedures for the synthesis of naturally occurring cis- or trans-fused hydronaphthalene sesquiterpenes such as cadinene, amorphene, and related compounds. ${ }^{30}$

## Experimental Section ${ }^{31}$

( $1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R)-3-[(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-ethenyl]-4-(2-oxopropyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes (7E and 7Z). ${ }^{32}$ To a stirred solution of 2 ( 2.36 g, 9.07 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aqueous $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(2.33$ $\mathrm{g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 13 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then concentrated to ca. half the volume of the original. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The extracts were combined, dried, and then concentrated to provide crude aldehyde $3(2.22 \mathrm{~g})$.

A mixture of the aldehyde and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}(4.11 \mathrm{~g}, 11.8$ mmol ) in benzene ( 25 mL ) was stirred for 30 min , and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was triturated with EtOAc and petroleum ether. After 30 min of stirring, the precipitated $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ was collected by filtration and washed well with petroleum ether. The filtrate and washings were combined and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:5) to provide 2.54 g ( $94 \%$ combined yield) of a mixture of 7 E and 7 Z as a colorless oil. In a small-scale experiment, 7E and 7Z were separated by repeated column chromatography on silica gel. 7E: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.63$ ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}+50.3^{\circ}\left(c 2.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) 2980, 2930, 1715, 1660, 1365, 1300, 1265, $1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30,1.50(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2)$, $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (dd, $J=3.3$ and 18.0 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85$ (dd, $J=11.0$ and $18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 4.23 (ddd, $J=0.7,6.2$, and $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.82 (t, $J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07$ (dd, $J=1.5$ and 15.8 Hz , 1 H ), 6.79 (dd, $J=6.2$ and $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 60.39; H, 7.43. Found: C, 60.07; H, 7.33. 7Z: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.65$ (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); $[\alpha]^{26}-5.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.09, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 2980, 2940, 1720, 1650, 1420, $1375,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.30,1.54(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 2.16 (s, 3 H ), 2.17-2.28 (m, 1 H ), 2.51 (dd, $J=4.0$ and $18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.93 (dd, $J=9.9$ and $18.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.19 (q, $J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43$ (dd, $J=8.4$ and 10.3 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.87 (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.91 (dd, $J=0.9$ and 11.7 Hz , 1 H ), 6.05 (dd, $J=8.8$ and $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 60.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.43$. Found: C, $60.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.27$.

Mixture of ( $1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R$ )-3-(3-Hydroxypropyl)-4-[2(R)and 2(S)-hydroxypropyl]-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[ 3.3 .0 ]octanes (8). The mixture of $7(2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 8.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH ( 25 mL ) was hydrogenated in the presence of Raney nickel T-4 under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ) for 20 h . The catalyst was removed through a pad of Celite and washed well with EtOH. The filtrate and washings were combined and concentrated to provide saturated ester ( 2.57 g ) [TLC $R_{f} 0.50(\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, $1: 10)$ ].

To a stirred solution of the residue ( 2.57 g ) in THF ( 50 mL )

[^5]was added $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(646 \mathrm{mg}, 17.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.65$ mL ), $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.65 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added successively. The solid that precipitated was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( EtOH /toluene, $1: 20,1: 15$, and then $1: 8$, successively) to provide compounds 8 ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ): a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.38$ ( $\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, 1:5); IR (neat) 3400 , $2930,2870,1370,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.23,1.24$ ( 2 d , each $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ca. $7: 5,3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.32,1.49,1.50(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ for 1.32 , total 3 H for $1.49,1.50$ ), 1.41-2.30 ( $\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.65, 3.67 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, J=$ 6.0 Hz , total 2 H ), $3.75-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.72$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 59.97; H, 9.29. Found: C, 60.08; H, 9.33.
( $1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R$ )-7,7-Dimethyl-3-[(3E)-5-oxo-3-hexenyl]-4-(2-oxopropyl)-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane (10). To a stirred solution of $8(1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 6.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added PCC ( $5.99 \mathrm{~g}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and powdered molecular sieves, $4 \mathrm{~A}(6.0 \mathrm{~g})$. After 30 min , the mixture was passed through a short column of silica gel. The column was eluted with excess ether to provide $9(1.82 \mathrm{~g})$ : a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.65(\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, 1:5); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.28,1.46(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.46-1.65, $1.90-2.18(2 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ (dd, $J=3.4$ and 18.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.64-2.67 (m, 2 H ), 2.83 (dd, $J=10.2$ and $18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.74 (d, $J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

To a solution of $9(1.82 \mathrm{~g})$ in benzene ( 40 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHC}(=0) \mathrm{CH}_{3}(3.53 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was refluxed for 10 h , and then the solvent was removed by concentration. The residue was triturated with excess petroleum ether. After 30 min of stirring, the precipitated $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=0$ was removed by filtration and washed well with petroleum ether. The filtrate and washings were combined and then concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/ toluene, $1: 10$ ) to provide $1.38 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%)$ of 10 : a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.44$ (EtOAc/toluene, 1:2); $[\alpha]^{24}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}+82.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) $2980,2930,1715,1695,1670,1625,1370,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{(270}$ MHz ) $\delta 1.29,1.47$ ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.50-1.62, 1.66-1.75 ( $2 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ $\times 2$ ), $2.08-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19,2.23(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 2.26-2.49 (m, 2 H ), 2.38 (dd, $J=3.5$ and $18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.83 (dd, $J=10.1$ and $18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.6$ and 10.7 Hz$), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.8$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 6.81 (td, $J=7.0$ and $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 64.86; H, 8.16. Found: C, 64.46; H, 8.22.
( $1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R)$-3-[(3E)-4-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-3-butenyl]-4-(2-oxopropyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane (11). The mixture of $8(2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 7.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, which was obtained from 2.65 g of 7 , was converted into $9(1.48 \mathrm{~g})$ as described in the preparation of 10. A mixture of $9(1.48 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}$ ( $3.02 \mathrm{~g}, 8.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 30 mL ) was stirred for 3.5 h . The solvent was removed by concentration. The residue was triturated with excess petroleum ether. The precipitated $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=0$ was removed by filtration. The filtrate and washings (petroleum ether) were combined, and the whole was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 8$ then 1:5) to provide 1.66 g ( $64 \%$ ) of 11: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.61$ (EtOAc/toluene, 1:1); $[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}+74.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ $0.88, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $2990,2940,2870,1720,1655,1370,1210$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29,1.47$ ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), $1.50-1.76$ (m, 2 H ), 2.05-2.17 (m, 1 H ), 2.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ (dd, $J=3.7$ and $18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.83 (dd, $J=10.2$ and $18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 (ddd, $J=2.6,9.2$, and 11.9 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.83 (dt, $J=15.8$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.96 (dt, $J=15.8$ and $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 62.56$; H, 8.03. Found: C, 62.47; H, 7.98 .

Mixture of $(1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R)-3-[(E)$-5-Hydroxy-3-pente-nyl]-4-[2( $R$ )- and 2(S)-hydroxypropyl]-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes (12). To a cooled $\left(-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ stirred solution of $11(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar was added Dibal-H ( $17.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene). The mixture was stirred at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The gel that precipitated was filtered off and washed well with EtOAC. The filtrate and washings were combined, and the whole was concentrated. The residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 150 mL ) and 0.1 N aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with

EtOAc ( $150 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ). The organic phase and the extracts were combined, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, $1: 25$ ) to provide a diastereomeric mixture of $12(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%)$ : a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.47$ (EtOH/toluene, 1:5); IR (neat) 3400, 2930, 2860, $1665,1450,1370,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.23,1.24$ ( 2 d, each $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ca. 2.5:1, total 3 H ), $1.32,1.48,1.49(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ for 1.32 , total 3 H for 1.48, 1.49), 1.34-1.53, 1.55-1.84, 1.88-2.00, 2.08-2.16, 2.18-2.34 ( 5 m , total 10 H ), 3.72-4.02 (m, 1 H ), 4.08 (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.75-5.80 (m, 1 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 62.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.15$. Found: C, 62.74; H, 8.95 .

Mixture of ( $1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R$ )-3-[( $E$ )-5-Chloro-3-pente-nyl]-4-[2( $R$ )- and $2(S)$-hydroxypropyl]-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes (13). A mixture of 12 ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, -toluenesulfonyl chloride $(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 4 (dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) ( $734 \mathrm{mg}, 6.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 30 mL ) was stirred for 32 h . The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 100 $\mathrm{mL} \times 3$ ). The extracts were combined, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/toluene, $1: 20$ ) to provide $0.634 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%)$ of 13 and 0.567 $\mathrm{g}(45 \%)$ of recovered 12. 13: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.41$ (EtOAc/toluene, 1/1); IR (neat) 3450, 2925, 2870, 1660, 1440, 1370, $1245,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ), $\delta 1.24,1.25$ (each d, each $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, total 3 H ), $1.32,1.50,1.52$ (each s, total 6 H ), $1.29-1.94$, 2.08-2.38, $3.71-3.39$ (m, 10 H ), 4.03 (dd, $J=0.7$ and $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), 4.67-4.73 (m, 1 H ), 5.61-5.84 (m, 3 H ). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 59.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.27$. Found: C, $59.33 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.96$.
( $1 R, 3 R, 4 R, 5 R$ )-3-[(E)-5-Chloro-3-pentenyl]-4-(2-oxo-propyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-triozabicyclo[3.3.0]octane (14). To a stirred solution of $13(634 \mathrm{mg}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added PCC ( $1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 8.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and powdered molecular sieves $4 \mathrm{~A}(1.55 \mathrm{~g})$. After 30 min , the mixture was passed through a short column of silica gel. The column was eluted with excess $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The eluate was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:8) to provide 572 mg ( $91 \%$ ) of 14: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.54$ ( $\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, 1:15); $[\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}+75.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.51, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $2990,2940,2870,1720,1690,1660,1445,1375,1250,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.29,1.47(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.42-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 2.03-2.33 (m, 3 H ), 2.19 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.38 (dd, $J=3.7$ and 18.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.82 (dd, $J=10.3$ and $18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.70-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 4.02 (dd, $J=0.7$ and $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.58-5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 59.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.66$. Found: C, 59.51; H, 7.46.
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 R)-3-[(1 R)-1,2$-(Isopropylidenedioxy). ethyl]-4-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane (15). To a stirred solution of $1(4.87 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2$ mmol ) in THF ( 25 mL ) were added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}^{-}(17.3 \mathrm{~g}, 48.6$ mmol) and $t$-BuOK ( $5.46 \mathrm{~g}, 48.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ in THF 60 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 15 min and then quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. It was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was washed with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(200 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\times 3$ ). The organic layer was drawn off, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/toluene, 1:20) to provide $4.67 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$ of 15 : white crystals; mp $55.0-56.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.75$ (EtOAc/toluene, 1:5); $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}$ $+75.8^{\circ}\left(\right.$ c $\left.1.05, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3030,2990,2930,1635,1440$, $1360,1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.31,1.35,1.43,1.51$ (4 $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 4$ ), 1.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.00-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.79 (dd, $J=5.9$ and $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.92-3.97 (m, 1 H ), 4.02-4.11 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.78$. Found: C, 64.50; H, 8.40.
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 R)$-3-[(1R)-1,2-Dihydroxyethyl]-4-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-triozabicyclo[3.3.0]octane (16). Compound 15 ( $4.63 \mathrm{~g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $60 \%$ aqueous HOAc ( 80 mL ), and the solution was stirred for 16 h and then concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/toluene, 1:25) to provide $3.49 \mathrm{~g}(87 \%)$ of 16: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.46$ ( $\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, 1:5); $[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}+120.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.40, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3400, 3000, 2940, $1650,1450,1380,1370,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.32$, $1.52(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0$ and $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1 H ), 4.82 (s, 2 H ), 5.76 (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 60.45; $\mathrm{H}, 8.58$. Found: C, 60.16; $\mathrm{H}, 8.45$.
Mixture of ( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 R$ )-3-[(1R)- and ( $1 S$ )-1-Hydroxy-3-butenyl]-4-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes (17). To a stirred solution of 16 (3.34 $\mathrm{g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aqueous $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(3.32$ $\mathrm{g}, 15.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After 30 min , the solid that precipitated was removed by filtration. The filtrate and washings ( MeOH ) were combined, and the whole was concentrated. The residue was partitioned between EtOAc ( 200 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 200 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $200 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 2). The organic layers were combined, dried, and concentrated to provide crude 3 -aldehyde ( 3.23 g ) [TLC $R_{f} 0.60$ ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane, 1:5)].

To a stirred solution of the crude aldehyde ( 3.23 g ) in THF ( 80 mL ) was added under Ar allylmagnesium chloride ( 19.35 mL , $38.7 \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF). The mixture was stirred for 1 h , quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and concentrated to ca. a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ volume. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 200 mL ), and the whole was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL} \times$ 4). The extracts were combined, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:10) to provide $3.23 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of a diastereomeric mizture of 17: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.48$ and 0.54 (EtOAc/ hexane, 1:2); IR (neat) $3470,3080,2990,2945,1650,1640,1445$, $1380,1375,1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.317,1.325,1.50$, $1.52(4 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2)$, $1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.64$, $3.76-3.81,3.84-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, total 2 H ), $4.60-4.64$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.81 ( s , $2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.08-5.20 (m, 2 H$), 5.75-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 R)-3-[(1 S)$ - and ( $1 R$ )-1-(Benzyloxy)-3-bute-nyl]-4-(2-methyl-2-propenyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-triozabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes ( $18 S$ and $18 R$ ). To a cold ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), stirred solution of the mixture of $17(3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 48: 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 10 min , benzyl bromide ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 42.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h , quenched with $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then diluted with EtOAc $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The whole was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The organic layer was drawn off, dried, and the concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:35) to provide $1.91 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%)$ of 18 S and 2.26 $\mathrm{g}(52 \%)$ of 18 R . 18 S : a pale yellow oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.34$ (EtOAc/ hexane, 1:7); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+63.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.07, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 3070, 2990, $2940,2880,1645,1640,1495,1450,1375,1370,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.30,1.47(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.87$, 2.19-2.60 ( $2 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.43-3.49 (m, 1 H ), 3.85 (dd, $J=1.5$ and $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48,4.68(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.76-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.33$ (m,5 H). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 73.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.44$. Found: C, 73.76; $\mathrm{H}, 8.40$. 18R: a pale yellow oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.46$ (EtOAc/herane, 1:5); $[\alpha]{ }^{28} \mathrm{D}$ $+47.6^{\circ}$ (c $1.15, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $3075,2990,2940,1645,1640$, $1495,1450,1375,1370,1240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.31$, $1.49(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), $1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.44$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.57-3.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (dd, $J=4.8$ and $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.78 (d, $J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $5.80-5.96$ (m, 1 H ), $7.31-7.33$ (m, 5 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 73.71; $\mathrm{H}, 8.44$. Found: C, $73.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.39$.
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 R$ )-3-[(1S)- and (1R)-1-(Benzyloxy)-2-formylethyl]-4-(2-oxopropyl)-7,7-dimethyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes ( 19 S and 19R). Through a cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) stirred solution of $18 \mathrm{~S}(296 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was bubbled ozone ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ containing ca. $3 \% \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) for 20 min . Then $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( $867 \mathrm{mg}, 3.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was maintained for 1.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/ hexane, 1:4) to provide 266 mg ( $89 \%$ ) of 19S: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.28$ (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); IR (neat) 2950, 2940, 2860, 1720, $1500,1370,1205 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.27,1.43(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ $\times 2$ ), $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4$ and $18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.54$ (m, 1 H ), 2.65 (dd, $J=10.3$ and $18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.77-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\mathrm{H}), 3.83$ (dd, $J=2.4$ and $10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.07 (dt, $J=2.6$ and 9.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42,4.65(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Analogously as described for 19S, 639 mg of 18 R was ozonolyzed to provide $612 \mathrm{mg}(89 \%)$ of 19R. 19R: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f}$ 0.47 (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); IR (neat) 2990, 2940, 2820, 2720, 1720,
$1495,1450,1405,1400,1380,1370,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(270 \mathrm{MHz})$ $\delta 1.28,1.47$ ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 2.04 (s, 3 H ), 2.28-2.38 (m, 1 H ), 2.66 (dd, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.73-2.88 (m, 3 H ), $3.84-3.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44,4.62(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 9.82(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
( $1 R, 3 S, 4 R, 5 R)-3-[(1 S, 3 E)$ - and ( $1 R, 3 E)$-1-(Benzyloxy)-4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-3-butenyl]-4-(2-oxopropyl)-7,7-di-methyl-2,6,8-trioxabicyclo[3.3.0]octanes (20S and 20R). To a stirred solution of $19 S(245 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}$ ( $308 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 7 h and then concentrated. The residue was triturated with excess petroleum ether, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=0$ that precipitated was removed by filtration and washed well with petroleum ether. The filtrate and washings were combined, and the whole was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:8 and then 1:5) to provide $288 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%)$ of 20S: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.37$ (EtOAc/hexane, 1:2); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+71.3^{\circ}$ (c $0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) 2990, $2940,2875,1715,1650,1455,1370,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27,1.41(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.94-2.18(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.2$ and 6.6 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ (dd, $J=2.2$ and $10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42,4.66(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.7$ and $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.94 (dt, $J=15.7$ and $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26-7.33$ (m, 5 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 66.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.46$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.37$.

As described for 20S, 596 mg of 19 R was converted into 697 $\mathrm{mg}(98 \%)$ of 20R. 20R: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{f} 0.62$ (EtOAc/ hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+38.7^{\circ}\left(c \mathrm{l} .03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) 2990, 2940, $1720,1645,1370,1270 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28,1.45(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.9$ and $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37,4.62(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=11.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (dd, $J=15.8$ and $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.03(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.8$ and $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33$ (m, 5 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 66.65 ; \mathrm{H}$ 7.46. Found: C, 66.41; H, 7.32.
( $1 R, 3 R, 6 S, 7 R, 8 R, 9 R$ )-7-Acetyl-6-hydroxy-11,11-di-methyl-2,10,12-trioxatricyclo[7.3.0.0 $0^{8,8}$ ]dodecane (21S) and Its $6 R$ Isomer (21R). The mixture of $8(193 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with PCC ( 640 mg ) as described in the preparation of 10 to give 135 mg of 9 . Compound $9(135 \mathrm{mg})$ thus obtained was dissolved in benzene ( 5 mL ), and the solution was refluxed in the presence of DBU ( $0.079 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 4 h . The solvent was evaporated. The residue was diluted with EtOAc ( 40 mL ) and then washed with 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, successively. The aqueous layers were combined, and the whole was extracted with EtOAc ( $40 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layer and the extracts were combined, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:15) to provide $105 \mathrm{mg}(53 \%)$ of 21 S and $25 \mathrm{mg}(13 \%)$ of $21 R .21 \mathrm{~S}$ : colorless crystals; mp $113.0-113.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.15$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{27} \mathrm{D}+106.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.89, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR (KBr) 3480, 2990, 2960, 2920, $1710,1450,1365,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.31,1.51$ (2 $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.72-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (dd, $J=2.2$ and $12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.65(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83$ (d, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 60.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.87$. Found: C, 60.70; H, 7.70. 21R: colorless crystals; mp 97.0-99.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.26$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+87.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.62, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 3470, 3000, 2960, 2900, 1705, 1450, 1415, 1360, $1295,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.30,1.54(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.41-1.55, 2.05-2.19 ( 2 m , total 5 H ), 2.34 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.81 (dd, $J=$ 9.9 and $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86-3.92 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(1 R,3R,6S,7R,8R,9R)-6-Acetoxy-7-acetyl-11,11-di-methyl-2,10,12-trioxatricyclo[7.3.0.0 ${ }^{3,8}$ ]dodecane (22S) and Its $6 R$ Isomer (22R). Compound $21 S$ ( $31.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in pyridine ( 0.5 mL ) for 18 h , and then the mixture was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4) to provide 41.3 mg ( $95 \%$ ) of 22S: colorless crystals; mp 125.5-127 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.44$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+49.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.54 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 3000, 2975, 2880, 1745, 1715, 1440, $1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.28,1.47(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.63-1.66 (m, 3 H ), $2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (dd, $J=$ 2.9 and $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and 11.0 Hz$), 4.83(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59$ (dd, $J=2.2$ and $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, $60.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.43$. Found: C, 60.09; H, 7.26.

Analogously as described for 22 S , compound 21 R ( 12.8 mg ) was converted into 13.1 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of 22R: colorless crystals; mp $144.5-145.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.48$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+82.7^{\circ}$ (c $0.60, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $2990,2955,2940,1735,1715,1455,1240$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.30,1.54(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.33-1.63, 2.11-2.31 ( 2 m , total 5 H ), 2.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.8$ and $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
(1R,2R,3S,8R,11R,13R)-6,15,15-Trimethyl-12,14,16-trioxatetracyclo [11.3.0.0 $0^{2,11} .0^{3,8}$ ]hexadec-5-en-4-one (23), (1R,2R,3S,8S,11R,13R)-6,15,15-Trimethyl-12,14,16-triozatetracyclo[11.3.0.0 $0^{2,11}, 0^{3,8}$ ]hexadec-5-en-4-one (24), and ( $1 R, 2 R, 3 S, 8 R, 11 R, 13 R$ )-4,15,15-Trimethyl-12,14,16-triozatetracycio[11.3.0.0 $\left.0^{2,11} \cdot 0^{3,8}\right]$ hexadec-4-en-6-one (25). To a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 4 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added under Ar a solution of $10(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ). After 45 min , the mixture was neutralized by adding 0.1 N aqueous HCl . It was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the whole was extracted with EtOAc $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$. The extracts were combined, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chroamtography on silica gel (EtOAc/hexane, 1:3) followed by preparative TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1:3) to provide 245 $\mathrm{mg}(20 \%)$ of $23,137 \mathrm{mg}(11 \%)$ of 24 , and $402 \mathrm{mg}(33 \%)$ of 25. 23: colorless crystals; mp $203.0-203.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.37$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-6.7^{\circ}$ (c $1.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3010$, $2940,1660,1435,1380,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.34$, $1.56(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.41-1.78,1.97-2.15(2 \mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61$ (dd, $J=4.4$ and $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.70 (dt, $J=4.4$ and $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.45(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.73 (d, $J$ $=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 69.04; H, 7.97. Found: C, 68.81; H, 7.79. 24: colorless crystals; mp $97-99.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.60$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+127^{\circ}$ (c $1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 2975, 2930, 1675, 1630, 1380, $1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.34,1.49(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.26-1.49 (m, 3 H ), 1.74-1.91 (m, 2 H ), 1.94 (s, 3 H ), 2.11-2.36 (m, 4 H ), 3.67 (dt, $J=3.7$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.24 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.83 (d, $J$ $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 69.04$; H, 7.97. Found: C, 69.00; H, 7.83. 25: colorless crystals; mp $158.0-158.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.49$ (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+183^{\circ}$ (c $1.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3010,2945,1655,1630,1380,1250$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.34,1.55(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.47(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=3.6$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.80,2.00-2.20(2 \mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 69.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.97$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 68.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.71$.
( $1 R, 3 R, 6 R, 7 S, 8 R, 9 R$ )-7-Acetyl-6-[(Ethoxycarbonyl)-methyl]-11,11-dimethyl-2,10,12-trioxatricyclo[7.3.0.0 ${ }^{8,8}$ ]dodecane (26), ( $1 R, 2 R, 3 S, 8 R, 11 R, 13 R$ )-6-Hydroxy-15,15-di-methyl-12,14,16-trioxatetracyclo[11,3.0 $\left.0^{2,11}, 0^{3,8}\right]$ hexadec-5-en-4-one (27), and ( $1 R, 2 R, 3 S, 8 S, 11 R, 13 R$ )-15,15-Dimethyl-12,14,16-trioxatetracyclo[11,3.0.0 $\left.0^{2,11}, 0^{3,8}\right]$ hezadecane-4,6-dione (28). To a cold ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65$ mmol) in DMF ( 3 mL ) was added under Ar a solution of 11 ( 540 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ). After 30 min , the mixture was neutralized by adding HOAc, and then the whole was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOH/toluene, 1:5) followed by preparative TLC ( EtOH / toluene, $1: 10$ ) to provide $280 \mathrm{mg}(52 \%)$ of $26,80 \mathrm{mg}(17 \%)$ of 27 , and $43 \mathrm{mg}(9 \%)$ of 28. 26: a colorless oil; TLC $R_{;} 0.61$ (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+45.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{0.63}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) 2980, $2940,2870,1730,1710,1375,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta$ 1.24 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.27, 1.47 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.45-2.35 (m, $7 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 62.56$; H, 8.03. Found: C, 62.30; H, 7.86. 27: colorless crystals; mp $213-215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.31\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 50\right) ;[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}+144.5^{\circ}$ (c $0.79, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3420,2980,2950,2910,2880,1625$, $1575,1515,1465,1350,1300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
$\delta 1.36,1.57(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.59-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4$ and $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4$ and $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.9$ and $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ (d, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.70 (br s, 1 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 64.27; H, 7.19. Found: C,63.98; H, 7.02. 28: colorless crystals; $\operatorname{mp} 180-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.40\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 50\right) ;[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+127.9^{\circ}$ (c $0.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 3450, 3010, 2975, 2940, 2880, 1610, 1540, $1270,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.33,1.51(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2)$, 1.34-1.54 (m, 4 H ), 2.04-2.36 (m, 2 H ), 2.47 (dd, $J=15.4$ and 13.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.72 (ddd, $J=2.2,3.7$, and $15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$ (dd, $J=2.2$ and $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.71 (dt, $J=3.7$ and $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.08(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 64.27; H, 7.19. Found: C, 64.15; H, 7.32.

When a solution of 11 ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was treated with $\mathrm{NaH}\left(97 \mathrm{mg} 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , followed by column chromatography of the reaction mixture on silica gel, $139 \mathrm{mg}(56 \%)$ of 27 and 33 mg ( $13 \%$ ) of 28 were obtained.

When a solution of 11 ( $202 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene and DMF ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1: 1,4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred in the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(202 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.62 mmol ) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4.5 h , extractive workup (EtOAc) and a silica gel chromatographic separation of the reaction mixture gave a nearly 8:1 inseparable mixture of 26 and its $6 S$ isomer (149.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). The structure of the major product 26 was determined by spectral comparison to an authentic sample obtained as above.
( $1 R, 3 R, 6 R, 7 S, 8 R, 9 R$ )-7-Acetyl-11,11-dimethyl-6-vinyl-2,10,12-trioxatricyclo[7.3.0.0 $0^{3,8}$ ]dodecane (29). To a cold ( $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(88 \mathrm{mg}, 3.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 6 mL ) was added a solution of 14 ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 6 mL ). After 1 h , the mixture was neutralized by adding 0.1 N aqueous HCl . It was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then the whole was extracted with EtOAc ( $60 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The extracts were combined, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, $1: 50$ ) to provide 187 $\mathrm{mg}\left(96 \%\right.$ ) of 29 : colorless crystals; mp $115.0-117.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f}$ $0.50(\mathrm{EtOH} /$ toluene, $1: 15) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{28}+70.3^{\circ}\left(c 0.49, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $2980,2950,2875,1710,1375,1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta$ $1.28,1.47(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.53-1.81,1.92-2.03(2 \mathrm{~m}$, total 5 H$), 2.14$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.83 (dd, $J=4.8$ and $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92-2.95 (br s, 1 $\mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0$ and $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0$ and 17.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) , 5.79 (ddd, $J=8.5,10.0$ and $17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.80 (d, $J$ $=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.33$. Found: C, 67.41; H, 8.18.
(1R,2R,3S,8R,10S,11S,13R)-10-(Benzyloxy)-6-hydroxy-15,15-dimethyl-12,14,16-trioxatetracyclo[11.3.0.0.0,11. $0^{3,8}$ ]hex-adec-5-en-4-one (30) and the $8 \mathbf{8 S}$ Diastereomer (31). To a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) stirred suspension of NaH ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added a solution of 20 S ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2 mL ). After 1.5 h , the mixture was neutralized by adding Amberlyst-15 ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$). The resin was removed by filtration through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (acetone/toluene, 1:5 and then $1: 3$ ) to provide $104 \mathrm{mg}(57 \%)$ of 30 and $28 \mathrm{mg}(15 \%)$ of 31. 30: colorless crystals; mp $192.0-193.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.23$
(acetone/toluene, $1: 1$ ); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+39.6^{\circ}\left(c 0.52, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (KBr) $3435,2985,2945,2870,1610,1530,1385,1370,1210 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.36,1.58(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), $1.48-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98$ (dd, $J=4.4$ and $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.7$ and $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63,4.88(\mathrm{AB} q, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 5.87 (d, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26-7.37$ (m, 5 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 68.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.78$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 68.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.80$. 31 : colorless crystals; mp $108.0-109.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.40$ (acetone/ toluene, 1:1); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{D}+43.3^{\circ}\left(c 1.31, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (KBr) 3435, 2990, $2945,2870,1610,1530,1450,1380,1370,1315,1285 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(270 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.33,1.52(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 1.35-1.71,2.13-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ (dd, $J=1.8$ and $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H ), 3.58 (d, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.48-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66,4.88(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 386.1729$, found $m / z 386.1729$.
(1R,2R,3S,8S,10R,11S,13R)-10-(Benzyloxy)-15,15-di-methyl-12,14,16-trioxatetracyclo[11.3.0.0 $\left.0^{2,11}, 0^{3,8}\right]$ hexadecane-4,6-dione (32) and the $8 R$ Diastereomer (33). To a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, stirred suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(32 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) was added a solution of 20 R ( $571 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 6 mL ). After 30 min , the mixture was neutralized by adding Amberlyst-15 $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. The resin was filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (acetone/toluene, $1: 7$ and then $1: 5$ ) to provide 280 mg ( $55 \%$ ) of 32 and $56 \mathrm{mg}(11 \%)$ of 33.32 : colorless crystals; mp 158.5-159.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.44$ (acetone/toluene, $1: 2$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+85.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.25 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 2980, 1740, 1615, 1545, $1205 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) $\delta 1.34,1.49(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2$ ), 1.25-1.55, 1.97-2.65 (m, total $7 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9$ and $16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2$ and $11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58$, $4.78(\mathrm{AB} q, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (d, $J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25-7.37 (m, 5 H ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 68.38; H, 6.78. Found: C, 68.26; H, 6.77. 33: colorless crystals; $\operatorname{mp} 109.0-110.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; TLC $R_{f} 0.25$ (acetone/toluene, 1:2); $[\alpha]^{27} \mathrm{D}$ $+58.6^{\circ}$ ( 1.14, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 3470, 2935, 1605, 1345, $1220 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 270 MHz ) for the keto enol form (ca. $25 \%$ of 33 exists as the diketo form in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.39,1.57(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 / 4 \times 3 \mathrm{H} \times 2)$, $1.25-1.82,1.95-2.03,2.27-2.64$ ( 3 m , total 6 H ), 2.44 (dt, $J=3.7$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 / 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$ and $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 / 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.15-4.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59,4.74(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 / 4 \mathrm{H} \times 2), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.3.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} / 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.52\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{3} / 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} / 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.23-7.36$ (m, 5 H ). HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) m / z 386.1730$, found $m / z 386.1727$.
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